

SOUTHOLD PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Wireless Facilities Special Exception Application Requirements

To make the determination on an application for special exception, the Planning Board shall require the following in addition to the requirements of Town Code Article XXV:

- (1) Each application shall include:
 - (a) One copy of the building permit application.
 - (b) One copy of the site plan application.
 - (c) A written site location alternative analysis describing the location of other sites considered, the availability of those sites, the extent to which other sites do or do not meet the provider's service or engineering needs and the reason why the subject site was chosen.
 - (d) Other information deemed by the Planning Board to be necessary.
- (2) The applicant shall document to the satisfaction of the Planning Board that a good-faith effort has been made to locate or co-locate on existing towers or other available and appropriate buildings and structures, that it is not feasible to co-locate on an existing facility and that the proposed location is necessary to provide adequate service to the public. The documentation shall include a notarized statement by the applicant as to whether construction of the wireless communication facility will accommodate co-location of additional antennas for future users.
- (3) The Planning Board and Planning Department may retain technical consultants as they deem necessary to provide assistance in the review of the needs and site location alternatives analyses and other matters that the Board deems necessary. The applicant shall bear the reasonable cost associated with such consultation, which cost shall be assessed as an additional application fee. The consultants will work under the direction of the Town Planning Director. Copies of the consultants' qualifications, findings and reports shall be made available to the applicant upon acceptance of the final draft of the report by the Planning Board.
- (4) The applicant must explain in writing to the Planning Board why it selected the proposed site, discuss the availability or lack thereof of a suitable structure within the search ring for co-location, and the extent to which the applicant has explored locating the proposed facility in a more intensive use district. Correspondence with other telecommunication providers concerning co-location is part of this requirement. The applicant shall also provide evidence supporting the existence of inadequate service. This may include the propagation maps cited above, drive test maps, traffic studies, customer complaint logs and similar data. The applicant must also demonstrate to the Board that the proposed facility satisfies the demonstrated service deficiency to an equal or greater degree than any of the reasonably available alternatives.